

This article was downloaded by:

On: 29 January 2011

Access details: *Access Details: Free Access*

Publisher *Taylor & Francis*

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Supramolecular Chemistry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

<http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713649759>

Macrocyclic Polyethers as Enolate Activators in Homogeneous and Heterogeneous Systems

Angelamaria Maia^a; Dario Landini^a; Silvia Petricci^a

^a Centro CNR and Dipartimento di Chimica Organica e Industrial dell'Università, Milano, Italy

To cite this Article Maia, Angelamaria , Landini, Dario and Petricci, Silvia(2000) 'Macrocyclic Polyethers as Enolate Activators in Homogeneous and Heterogeneous Systems', *Supramolecular Chemistry*, 11: 4, 289 – 292

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/10610270008049140

URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10610270008049140>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: <http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

Macrocyclic Polyethers as Enolate Activators in Homogeneous and Heterogeneous Systems

ANGELAMARIA MAIA*, DARIO LANDINI and SILVIA PETRICCI

Centro CNR and Dipartimento di Chimica Organica e Industriale dell'Università, Via Golgi 19, I-20133 Milano, Italy

(Received 16 February 2000)

The ability of macrocyclic polyethers to activate enolates has been studied in the alkylation of deoxybenzoin (1) with butyl derivatives $n\text{BuY}$ ($Y = \text{Br, I, OMe}$) catalyzed by crown ether PHDB18C6 (7) or cryptand [2.2.2, C_{10}] (8) under phase-transfer catalysis (PTC) and homogeneous (chlorobenzene) conditions. The enolate reactivity is mainly determined by the ligand (cryptand > crown ether) and solvent (increasing with the polarity, in the order: toluene < chlorobenzene < 1,2-dichlorobenzene). Regioselectivity of the reaction is also remarkably affected by ligand and alkylating agent.

Keywords: Activation of enolates; Lipophilic macrocyclic polyethers; Phase-transfer catalysis (PTC); Regioselectivity in alkylation reactions; Solvent effects in PTC reactions; UV-vis determinations of enolates


Lipophilic crown ethers and cryptands are known to form stable inclusion complexes with alkali and alkaline-earth metal salts even in low polarity media to give very reactive "solvent separated" ion pairs [1]. In such complexes the anion is markedly activated due to the scarce stabilization by the complexed cation ($\text{M}^n + \text{C Lig}$) and the solvent. These polyethers are hence particularly attractive for measuring the reactivity of carbanionic species, especially in poor

solvating media where the latter are present as ion pairs or ion pair aggregates [2].

The present communication concerns the activation of enolates in the alkylation of deoxybenzoin (1) with several butyl derivatives (2–4) catalyzed by crown ether PHDB18C16 (7) or cryptand [2.2.2, C_{10}] (8) under liquid–liquid (LL) and solid–liquid (SL) phase-transfer catalysis (PTC) conditions with strong bases (NaOH, KOH). Comparison with the reactivity of tetrahexylammonium enolate $\text{hexyl}_4\text{N}^+ \text{E}^-$ is also included (Scheme 1) [3].

Kinetic data reveal the major role that the ligand plays in determining both the reactivity of the enolate anion E^- (1a,b) (Tab. I) and the distribution of the alkylation products 5 and 6 (Tab. II). As reported in Table I, the rate constant $k(\text{M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1})$ increases in the order: crown ether < quaternary salt > cryptand. The enhancement of reactivity, up to 40 times, found on changing from crown ether 7 to cryptand 8 can be explained on the basis of the different ability of these ligands to activate the anion due to their topology. Whereas in the crown ether complexes there is still interaction between the anion and

*Corresponding author.



SCHEME 1 $n\text{BuY} = n\text{BuBr}$ (2), $n\text{BuI}$ (3), $n\text{BuOMes}$ (4); catalyst = PHDB18C6 7, [2.2.2, C_{10}] 8, hexyl $_4\text{N}^+ \text{Cl}^-$ 9; solv. = toluene, chlorobenzene, 1,2-dichlorobenzene; MOH = NaOH, KOH.




TABLE I Influence of catalyst and base on the rate of the alkylation of deoxybenzoin (1) with $n\text{BuBr}$ (2) under PTC and homogeneous conditions, at $25 \pm 0.1^\circ\text{C}$ (Scheme 1)^a

Catalyst	PTC conditions		Homogeneous conditions ^b	
	$\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{Cl}$ - aq base	$\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{Cl}$ - solid base	$\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{Cl}$	
	Aq base	Solid base		
			10^3k ($\text{M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$)	10^3k ($\text{M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$)
PHDB18C6 7	NaOH 19M	NaOH	5.0	2.7
PHDB18C6 7	KOH 13M	KOH	9.3	13.5
[2.2.2, C_{10}] 8	NaOH 19M	NaOH	126	126
[2.2.2, C_{10}] 8	KOH 13M		88	
hexyl $_4\text{N}^+ \text{Cl}^-$ 9		KOH	49.3	

^aSee Ref. [3]; ^b Comparable amounts of preformed ($\text{M}^+ \subset \text{Lig}$) E^- complex and $n\text{BuBr}$ in anhydrous chlorobenzene.

cation, in cryptates, where the metal cation is fully sequestered inside the tridimensional cavity of the ligand, such interaction is minimized, hence the anion is highly activated [1c,e,f]. Such

behavior is confirmed by UV-*vis* spectroscopic determinations of these Q^+E^- species [$\text{Q}^+ = (\text{M}^+ \subset \text{Lig})$ or hexyl $_4\text{N}^+$] in chlorobenzene showing the highest absorption maximum

TABLE II Influence of alkylating agent *n*BuY and ligand on the regioselectivity (O/C ratio) of Scheme 1

<i>n</i> BuY	Ligand	Base	O/C ratio
<i>n</i> BuI	crown ether 7	NaOH 19 M	0.0
<i>n</i> BuBr	crown ether 7	NaOH 19 M	0.002
	cryptand 8	NaOH 19 M	0.35
<i>n</i> BuOMes	crown ether 7	KOH 13 M	2.3
	cryptand 8	KOH 13 M	3.0
	cryptand 8	KOH solid	3.8

wavelength (λ_{\max}) values just with cryptand [2.2.2, C₁₀] (Tab. III). Since bathochromic shifts indicate a more efficient separation within the ion pair the data provide additional evidence for the better anion activation realized by this ligand.

Comparison with the homogeneous conditions shows that the PTC reaction rates can be reproduced by reacting the preformed ($M^+ \subset \text{Lig}$)E⁻ complex with the alkylating agent in anhydrous chlorobenzene (Tab. I). The results clearly prove that the alkylation reaction (1) takes place in the organic phase and is the rate-limiting step of the overall process, the E⁻ extraction and the release of Y⁻ being fast processes. Consequently, in our system the enolate reactivity depends on the activation induced by the bulky cation Q⁺, following the order: crown ether < quaternary cation < cryptand.

The regioselectivity of the reaction (O/C ratio) is also affected by the ligand (Tab. II). It is worth noting that with crown ether the preferential association of the complexed cation with oxygen favors the alkylation of the less electronegative center, the carbon, and hence only the C-alkylation product is obtained. By contrast

cryptand, that realizes a much better cation-anion separation, leads to an increase in the nucleophilicity of the enolate O-center, resulting in greater quantity of O-alkylated product and, hence, lower regioselectivity [4].

A further increase in reactivity occurs on changing the solvent polarity and the type of base. On passing from toluene to the more polar 1,2-dichlorobenzene an enhancement of 5, 17 and 55 times the rate constant is found for the quaternary salt, crown ether and cryptand, respectively (Fig. 1). In good agreement with these results spectroscopic determinations in the three solvents show that the absorption maximum wavelength (λ_{\max}) of the enolate Q⁺E⁻ is always shifted to higher values with increasing the polarity of the medium regardless of the catalyst. Interestingly, cryptand 8, the most efficient ligand, also exhibits the highest bathochromic shift ($\Delta\lambda = 23$ nm) (Tab. III).

The effect of the base is much less pronounced. The ligand and the H₂ function [6] being the same, the enolate reactivity increases, up to 2–4 fold, on passing from NaOH to KOH (lower cation-anion interaction) and from the aqueous to solid base (increased dehydration of the enolate) (Tab. I).

The data as a whole indicate that the rate of reaction (1) is maximized when catalyzed by cryptand 8 in the 1,2-dichlorobenzene-solid KOH two-phase system (Fig. 1). As shown in Figure 1, on changing from crown ether in toluene to cryptand in 1,2-dichlorobenzene enhancements of more than two powers of ten are obtained. For synthetic applications,

TABLE III UV-vis spectral data (λ_{\max} , nm) of Q⁺E⁻ enolates in toluene, chlorobenzene and 1,2-dichlorobenzene from org. solv.-solid KOH two-phase systems, at 25 ± 0.1°C^a

Q ⁺	$(\lambda_{\max}, \text{nm})$		
	Toluene ($E_T^N = 0.099$) ^b	Chlorobenzene ($E_T^N = 0.188$) ^b	1,2-dichlorobenzene ($E_T^N = 0.225$) ^b
(K ⁺ ⊂ PHDB18C6)	387	390 ^c	397
hexyl ₄ N ⁺	392	395 ^c	397
(K ⁺ ⊂ [2.2.2, C ₁₀])	392	402 ^c	415

^a[Q⁺E⁻] = 2–3 × 10⁻⁴ M; ^bSee Ref. [7]; ^cSee Ref. [3].




FIGURE 1 Effect of the solvent polarity, $\log k$ vs. E_T^N , in the reaction (1) with *n*BuBr catalyzed by (7)–(9) · [$E_T^N = 0.099$ (toluene), 0.188 (chlorobenzene), 0.225 (1,2-dichlorobenzene)].

however, the less efficient crown ether 7 is the catalyst of choice due to its much lower cost and higher tendency to promote regioselective reactions [*i.e.*, 100% C-alk 5 with *n*BuBr (3) and 70% O-alk 6, with *n*BuOMes (4) (Tab. II)].

References

- [1] For monographs see *inter alia* (a) Vögtle, F. and Weber, E., In: *Host Guest Complex Chemistry—Macrocycles—Synthesis, Structures, Applications*, Springer, Berlin, 1985; (b) Lehn, J.-M. (1973). *Structure and Bonding (Berlin)*, 16, 1; (c) Lehn, J.-M. (1988). *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, 27, 90; (d) Gokel, G. W. (1992). *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 21, 39; (e) Maia, A. (1995). *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 67, 697; (f) Landini, D., Maia, A. and Penso, M., In: *Comprehensive Supramolecular Chemistry*, Lehn, J.-M. (Ed.), Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1996; Vol. 1, Chap. 11.
- [2] (a) Jackman, L. M. and Lange, B. C. (1977). *Tetrahedron*, 33, 2737; (b) Jackman, L. M. and Lange, B. C. (1981). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 103, 4494; (c) Hogen-Esch, T. E. (1977). *Adv. Phys. Org. Chem.*, 15, 153.
- [3] For experimental details see: Gobbi, A., Landini, D., Maia, A. and Petricci, S. (1998). *J. Org. Chem.*, 63, 5356.
- [4] As expected[5], with *n*BuOMes (4) the O-alkylated product was the main product in all cases.
- [5] Ho, T. (1975). *Chem. Rev.*, 75, 1.
- [6] Yagil, G. (1967). *J. Phys. Chem.*, 71, 1034.
- [7] Reichardt, C., In: *Solvents and Solvent Effects in Organic Chemistry*, Verlag-Chemie, Weinheim, New York, 1988.